

Name: SOLUTIONS

Tuesday April 12, 2011
MATH 42 - Exam 2

For full credit, all work must be shown and clearly presented. No calculators.
--

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	

1. In $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, $7 + 4i = (1 + 2i)(3 - 2i) = (2 - i)(2 + 3i)$. Does this show that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ does not have unique factorization? Why or why not? (5 points)

No, $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ still has unique factorization into primes.
 $1 + 2i = (2 - i)(+i)$ and $(3 - 2i) = (2 + 3i)(-i)$, so
these factorizations are just off by $\bullet$ units.

2. Factor 78 into primes in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

(5 points)

$$\begin{aligned} 78 &= 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 13 \\ &= (1+i)(1-i) 3 (2+3i)(2-3i) . \end{aligned}$$

3. Find all incongruent solutions mod 65 to

$$x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{65}.$$

(10 points)

Note that $65 = 5 \cdot 13$.

$$\text{Solve } x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5} \quad \text{and} \quad x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{13}.$$

By inspection, $x \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}$ and $x \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{13}$.

We solve 4 systems of equations now:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \begin{aligned} x &\equiv 2 \pmod{5} \\ x &\equiv 5 \pmod{13} \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \begin{aligned} x &\equiv 2 \pmod{5} \\ x &\equiv -5 \pmod{13} \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \begin{aligned} x &\equiv -2 \pmod{5} \\ x &\equiv 5 \pmod{13} \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \begin{aligned} x &\equiv -2 \pmod{5} \\ x &\equiv -5 \pmod{13}. \end{aligned}$$

First find a s.t. $a \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and b s.t. $b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$
 $a \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$ $b \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$.

From guess + check, $a = 26$ works.

For b : $b = 5x = 13y + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} 13 &= 5 \cdot 2 + 3 \\ 5 &= 3 \cdot 1 + 2 \\ 3 &= 2 \cdot 1 + 1 \\ 2 &= 1 \cdot 2 + 0 \end{aligned} \quad \begin{array}{c|cccc} & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 13 \\ 10 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 5 & \end{array}$$

$$13 \cdot 2 - 5 \cdot 5 = 1$$

$$\text{So } b = -25.$$

$$\text{Solutions to: } \textcircled{1}: x \equiv 2(26) + 5(-25) \equiv 52 - 125 \equiv -73 \pmod{65}$$

$$\textcircled{2}: x \equiv 2(26) + 5(25) \equiv 52 + 125 \equiv 177 \pmod{65}$$

$$\textcircled{3}: x \equiv -2(26) + 5(-25) \equiv -52 - 125 \equiv -177 \pmod{65}$$

$$\textcircled{4}: x \equiv -2(26) - 5(-25) \equiv -52 + 125 \equiv 73 \pmod{65}$$

$$\text{So } x = \pm 8, \pm 47 \pmod{65}$$

Here is a table of powers of 2 mod 37. Use it to solve the next two questions.

a	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
2^a	2	4	8	16	32	27	17	34	31	25	13	26	15	30	23	9	18	36

a	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36
2^a	35	33	29	21	5	10	20	3	6	12	24	11	22	7	14	28	19	1

4. Use logarithms to find all incongruent solutions mod 37

$$5x^6 \equiv 24 \pmod{37}.$$

$$\log_2 5 + 6 \log_2 x \equiv \log_2 24 \pmod{36} \quad (5 \text{ points})$$

$$23 + 6 \log_2 x = 29 + 36k \quad \text{for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$6 \log_2 x = 6 + 36k$$

$$\log_2 x = 1 + 6k = 1, 7, 13, 19, 25, 31, \dots$$

$$\text{So } \boxed{x = 2, 17, 15, 35, 20, 22.}$$

5. What is the order of 33^{25} in U_{37} ? Of 25^{33} ? Of $33^{25} \cdot 25^{33}$?

(5 points)

$$33^{25} \equiv (2^{20})^{25} \equiv 2^{500} \equiv (2^{36})^{13} \cdot 2^{32} \equiv 2^{32} \pmod{37}.$$

$$\text{So the order is } \frac{36}{(36, 32)} = \frac{36}{4} = \boxed{9}.$$

$$25^{33} \equiv (2^{10})^{33} \equiv 2^{330} \equiv (2^{36})^9 \cdot 2^6 \equiv 2^6 \pmod{37}$$

$$\text{So the order is } \frac{36}{(36, 6)} = \frac{36}{6} = \boxed{6}.$$

$$33^{25} \cdot 25^{33} \equiv 2^{32} \cdot 2^6 \equiv 2^{38} \equiv 2^2 \pmod{37}$$

$$\text{So the order is } \frac{36}{(36, 2)} = \boxed{18}.$$

6. Compute $\left(\frac{6}{31}\right)$ using whatever method you choose.

(5 points)

$$\left(\frac{6}{31}\right) \equiv 6^{15} \pmod{31}.$$

$$6^2 \equiv 36 \equiv 5 \pmod{31}$$

$$6^4 \equiv 25 \equiv -6 \pmod{31}$$

$$6^8 \equiv 36 \equiv 5 \pmod{31}.$$

$$\text{So } 6^{15} \equiv 5 \cdot (-6)(5)(6) \equiv (25)(-36) \equiv (-6)(-5) \equiv 30 \pmod{31}.$$

$$\text{So } \left(\frac{6}{31}\right) = -1$$

7. It is a fact that 13 is a square mod 131 and mod 173. Is -13 a square mod 131? Is -13 a square mod 173?

(5 points)

$$131 \text{ is } 3 \pmod{4} \text{ and } 173 \text{ is } 1 \pmod{4}.$$

$$\text{So } \left(\frac{-1}{131}\right) = -1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{-1}{173}\right) = 1$$

$$\text{We're given } \left(\frac{13}{131}\right) = 1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{13}{173}\right) = 1.$$

$$\text{So } \left(\frac{-13}{131}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{131}\right)\left(\frac{13}{131}\right) = (-1)(1) = -1 \text{ and } \left(\frac{-13}{173}\right) = \left(\frac{-1}{173}\right)\left(\frac{13}{173}\right) = 1.$$

So -13 is not a square mod 131

and -13 is a square mod 173.

8. Again using the fact that 13 is a square mod 131 and mod 173, determine whether 52 is a square mod 131. Is 52 a square mod 173?

(5 points)

$$\text{Note: } 52 = 4 \cdot 13.$$

$$\text{So } \left(\frac{52}{131}\right) = \left(\frac{4}{131}\right)\left(\frac{13}{131}\right) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \text{ since } 4 = 2^2 \text{ is a square mod } 131.$$

$$\text{and } \left(\frac{52}{173}\right) = \left(\frac{4}{173}\right)\left(\frac{13}{173}\right) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 \text{ since } 4 = 2^2 \text{ is a square mod } 173.$$

So 52 is a square mod 131 and also mod 173.

9. Prove that if u has order n in U_m , then u^k has order $\frac{n}{d}$ where $d = (k, n)$.
(10 points, 3 of which will be devoted to how well the proof is written)

Suppose $u^{kx} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We'll show that $\frac{n}{d} \mid x$, which will imply that the smallest natural number x s.t. $(u^k)^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is $\frac{n}{d}$.

Now if $(u^k)^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$, we have $u^{kx} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

Then $n \mid kx$ since n is the order of u .

In other words, there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $nl = kx$.

Then $\frac{n}{d} l = \frac{k}{d} x$, where $d = (k, n)$.

So we see that $\frac{n}{d} \mid \frac{k}{d} x$.

But $\left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}\right) = 1$ since d is the gcd of n and k

(and if $\left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}\right) = c \neq 1$, then $dc > d$ divides n and k).

Thus, by the fundamental theorem of arithmetic,

$$\frac{n}{d} \mid x.$$

Therefore, the order of u^k is $\frac{n}{d}$.

10. True/False: if true, prove the statement, if false, give a counterexample demonstrating the statement is false. Here, z, w are elements of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. (5 points each)

- I. If $N(z) = N(w)$, then z and w are associates (i.e. $w = \pm z$ or $w = \pm iz$).
- II. If $N(z)$ and $N(w)$ are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}$, then z and w are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.
- III. If z and w are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, then $N(z)$ and $N(w)$ are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}$.

I. False. For example, $2+i$ and $2-i$ are not associates, but $N(2+i) = N(2-i) = 5$.

II. True. We'll argue by contradiction. If z and w are not relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, they share a factor α , and α is not a unit.

So $z = \alpha z'$ and $w = \alpha w'$.

But then $N(z) = N(\alpha)N(z')$ and $N(w) = N(\alpha)N(w')$.

and we see that $N(\alpha)$ divides both $N(z)$ and $N(w)$.

Since $N(z)$ and $N(w)$ are relatively prime, their only common divisor is 1, but α not a unit $\Rightarrow N(\alpha) \neq 1$.

This is a contradiction, and z and w must be relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$.

III. False. For example, $2+i$ and $2-i$ are relatively prime in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ (they are both prime since their norms are prime).

But $N(2+i) = N(2-i) = 5$, so the norms are definitely not relatively prime.