

Name: SOLUTIONS

Tuesday March 1, 2011  
MATH 42 – Exam 1

For full credit, all work must be shown and clearly presented. No calculators.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	

1.

(5 points each)

- a. Find the GCD of 654 and 163.

$$654 = 163 \cdot 4 + 2$$

$$163 = 2 \cdot 81 + 1$$

$$2 = 1 \cdot 2 + 0$$

The GCD is 1.

- b. Write  $\frac{654}{163}$  as a simple continued fraction.

$$\frac{654}{163} = 4 + \frac{1}{81 + \frac{1}{2}}$$

c. Find *all* integral solutions to the equation  $654x + 163y = 1$ .

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & 4 & 81 & 2 \\ \hline 0 & | & 4 & 325 & 654 \\ 10 & | & 1 & 81 & 163 \end{array}$$

$$654(-81) + 163(325) = 1.$$

All solutions:

$$x = -81 + 163k, \quad y = 325 - 654k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

d. What is the multiplicative inverse of 163 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{654}$ ?

Since  $163 \cdot 325 = 1 + 654 \cdot 81$ , the multiplicative inverse of 163 is 325 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{654}$ .

2. Consider the following four functions. Put them into the chart based on whether they are one-to-one and/or onto. No partial credit will be given unless work is shown.  
 (10 points)

I  $f(x) = 2x$  as a function from  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_7$ .

II  $g(x) = 2x$  as a function from  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

III  $h(x) = 2x$  as a function from  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ .

IV  $j(x) = 2x \pmod{5}$  as a function from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ .

	One-to-one	Not one-to-one
Onto	I.	IV.
Not onto	III	II

3. Decide which of these congruences have 0 solutions, 1 solution, or 2 solutions. No partial credit will be given if no work is shown.  
 (10 points)

I  $50x \equiv 17 \pmod{67}$

II  $50x \equiv 17 \pmod{166}$

III  $50x \equiv 16 \pmod{166}$

No solutions	II.
One solution	I.
Two solutions	III.

4. Compute  $3^{2402} \pmod{3500}$ . (Hint: First compute  $\varphi(3500)$ .) (10 points)

$$3500 = 7 \cdot 500 = 7 \cdot 4 \cdot 125.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \varphi(3500) &= \varphi(7) \varphi(4) \varphi(125) = 6 \cdot (4-2)(125-25) \\ &= 6 \cdot 2 \cdot 100 = 1200. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $3^{1200} \equiv 1 \pmod{3500}$ .

$$\text{So } 3^{2402} = 3^{1200} \cdot 3^{1200} \cdot 3^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{3500}.$$

5. Prove that if  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  and  $c \equiv d \pmod{m}$ , then  $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$ . (10 points)

$a \equiv b \pmod{m}$  means  $m | a-b$ .

$c \equiv d \pmod{m}$  means  $m | c-d$ .

Then  $a-b = mk$  and  $c-d = ml$  for some  $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\text{So } a-b+c-d = mk+ml = m(k+l)$$

Rearranging terms, we get

$$m(k+l) = (a+c) - (b+d),$$

$$\text{so } m | [(a+c) - (b+d)].$$

Thus,  $a+c \equiv b+d \pmod{m}$  by definition.

6. Prove that for integers  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$ , if  $a \mid c$  and  $b \mid c$ , and if  $(a, b) = 1$ , then  $ab \mid c$ . You may use the fact that if  $(a, b) = 1$ , then there are integers  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $ax + by = 1$ .  
*(Hint: Think of the proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.)* (10 points)

If  $(a, b) = 1$ , then there exists  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $ax + by = 1$ .

Multiplying through by  $c$ , we get

$$acx + bcy = c.$$

But  $a \mid c \Rightarrow c = ak$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

and  $b \mid c \Rightarrow c = bl$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Substituting, we get

$$\begin{aligned}c &= a(bl)x + b(ak)y \\&= ab(lx + ky).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $ab \mid c$ .

7. Extra Credit: Verify for at least 4 natural numbers  $n$  that

$$n = \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \varphi(d).$$

Prove this fact for all  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . (5 points)

Verification:

For example, if  $n=1$ ,  $1 = \varphi(1)$ . ✓

If  $n=2$ ,  $2 = \varphi(1) + \varphi(2) = 1+1$  ✓

If  $n=3$ ,  $3 = \varphi(1) + \varphi(3) = 1+2$  ✓

If  $n=6$ ,  $6 = \varphi(1) + \varphi(2) + \varphi(3) + \varphi(6) \cancel{\varphi(4)}$   
 $= 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 = 6$  ✓

For the proof:

We will count natural numbers  $k$  satisfying

$1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $(k, n) = \frac{n}{d}$ , where  $d$  is a divisor of  $n$ .

I claim there are  $\varphi(d)$  such  $k$ :

There are  $d$  multiples of  $\frac{n}{d}$  less than or equal to  $n$ ,

namely  $\frac{n}{d}, \frac{2n}{d}, \frac{3n}{d}, \dots, \frac{dn}{d} = n$ .

Clearly if  $(k, n) = \frac{n}{d}$ ,  $k = \frac{l \cdot n}{d}$  ~~for some~~ for some  $1 \leq l \leq d$ .

(i.e.  $k$  is in the list of multiples of  $\frac{n}{d}$  above).

But if  $(l, d) \neq 1$ , then  $(k, n) > \frac{n}{d}$  since if  $c > 1$  and

~~if~~  $c|l$ ,  $c|d$ , i.e.  $l = c \cdot l'$  and  $d = c \cdot d'$ ,

$$k = \frac{cl'n}{cd'} = \frac{l'n}{d'}$$

Now since  $d' < d$ ,  $k$  is a multiple of  $\frac{n}{d'}$  which is greater than  $\frac{n}{d}$ . That is,  $(k, n)$  is at least  $\frac{n}{d'} > \frac{n}{d}$ .

Now if  $(l, d) = 1$ , then  $(k, n) = \frac{n}{d}$  because  $\frac{n}{d}$  divides both

but if something larger,  $\frac{an}{d}$  divided both  $n$  and  $k$ , then  $a|l$  and  $a|d$  since  $n = \frac{dn}{d}$ .

Proof cont:

In summary, there are  $\varphi(d)$  numbers  $k$  satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and

$$(k, n) = \frac{n}{d}.$$

Every integer  $k$  s.t.  $1 \leq k \leq n$  has  $(k, n) = \frac{n}{d}$  for some divisor  $d$  of  $n$ . (since  $\frac{n}{d}$  will range over all divisors of  $n$ ).

Therefore, we see that

$$n = \# \text{ of } k \text{ with } (k, n) = \frac{n}{d_1} + \# \text{ of } k \text{ with } (k, n) = \frac{n}{d_2} + \dots$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \varphi(d).$$

---

Alternate proof:

Induct on the number of distinct prime divisors of  $n$ .

Base cases:  $n=1$ : If  $n=1$ ,  $\varphi(1)=1$  and  $1=1$  ✓

If  $n$  is prime or a prime power:

$$n = p^k \text{ where } p \text{ is prime.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) &= \varphi(1) + \varphi(p) + \varphi(p^2) + \dots + \varphi(p^k) \\ &= 1 + \underbrace{(p-1)}_{\text{telescoping sum}} + \underbrace{(p^2-p)}_{\text{telescoping sum}} + \dots + \underbrace{(p^k-p^{k-1})}_{\text{telescoping sum}} \\ &= p^k \\ &= n \end{aligned}$$

Inductive step: Assume the statement is true for  $n$  the product of powers of at most  $r-1$  primes. Now let  $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_r^{k_r}$  and show the statement is true for  $n$ .

If  $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_r^{k_r}$ , write  $m = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_{r-1}^{k_{r-1}} = \frac{n}{p_r^{k_r}}$ .

Then we know by assumption that

$$m = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d)$$

of course, if  $d|m$ ,  $d|n$ ;  ~~$\varphi(d) < \varphi(n)$~~

so the sum ~~over all divisors~~

$\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \varphi(d)$  has all the terms from the sum above,

plus a few more.

Namely,  $\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \sum_{l=1}^{k_r} \varphi(p_k^l)$

(In the far right sum, we're summing over divisors of  $n$  that are divisible by  $p_k^l$ , and these divisors can be written as  $d \cdot p_k^l$  where  $d|m$  and  $1 \leq l \leq k_r$ )

So  $\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) = \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \sum_{l=1}^{k_r} [\varphi(p_k^l) \varphi(d)]$  ~~and~~ (since  $p_k^l$  and  $d$  are rel. prime).

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) \sum_{l=1}^{k_r} \varphi(p_k^l) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) [\varphi(p) + \varphi(p^2) + \dots + \varphi(p^{k_r})] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) + \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) [p^{-1} + p^2 - p + \dots + \underbrace{p^{k_r} - p^{k_r-1}}_{p^{k_r-1}}] \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) + (p^{k_r} - 1) \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) = p^{k_r} \sum_{\substack{d|m \\ d>0}} \varphi(d) = p^{k_r} m = n \end{aligned}$$